

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/067,647

Applicant(s)

GERMANO, GARY WILLIAM

Examiner

Roberto J Rios

Art Unit

2836

— The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —
 Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 February 2002.

2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.

2b) ☒ This action is non-final.

3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected.

7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 March 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:

1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.

4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.

5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) ☐ Other:

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Application/Control Number: 10/067,647
Art Unit: 2836

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

There BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE VIEWS OF THE DRAWINGS is confusing.

Applicant is suggested to provide a simple and brief explanation of the Figures without including any part reference or description. For example: "Figure 1 is an electrical schematic diagram of the invention". See MPEP§608.01(f). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

It is not clear if there are two separate claims or if there is only one single claim comprising of two steps or parts. If a single claim is presented, the claim is not numbered. When multiple claims are presented, proper and consistent numbering must be provided, see MPEP§608.01(j).

BEST AVAILABLE COPY


Application/Control Number: 10/067,647
Art Unit: 2836

Page 4

Communication with PTO

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roberto Rios whose telephone number is (703) 306-5518. In the event that Examiner Rios cannot be reached, his supervisor, Brian Sircus may be contacted at (703) 308-3119. The fax number for Before-Final communications is (703) 872-9318, for After-Final communications is (703) 872-9319, and for Customer Service is (703) 872-9317.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY


GREGORY A. TOOLEY, JR.
PATENT EXAMINER

FAX RECEIVED

OCT 06 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Roberto J. Rios
Patent Examiner

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Response to Office Action Summary Application #: 10/067,647

Date: 10/06/2003

Applicant: Gary William Germano (707) 938-8850

Art Unit: 2836

ATTENTION: Examiner Roberto J. Rios

Dear Examiner Rios,

The following are four pages of response to Office Action Summary, plus 4 pages of copy of the original Office Action Summary. (9 pages total including this cover letter.)

Thank you very much for your phone interview and conversation on what to address in this response. Your help has been very much appreciated, as I had indicated this is my very first time applying for a patent. I have worked diligently at addressing your requirements. I kept in mind your excellent example of the television set with the new and unique power supply receiving its patent. Should you have any questions on any of my responses or need clarification on any detail, please call me. I am of course willing to make any changes to this response that are needed to make it acceptable, as my goal here is simply to obtain a patent for this device. Thank you very much.

Sincerely,

Gary Germano

Please confirm receipt of this fax.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

FAX RECEIVED